UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER OF United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,570	12/21/2004	Ralf Kohler	PD020056	8416
7590 10/25/2007 Mr Joseph S Tripoli Thomson Licensing Inc			· EXAMINER	
			NOONAN, WILLOW W	
Patent Departm P O Box 5312	ent .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			2146	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(c)				
	Аррисацоп но.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/518,570	KOHLER, RALF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Willow Noonan	2146				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Au	<u>ıgust 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date lformal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 through 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akatsu (U.S. Patent No. 6505255) in view of Humpleman (U.S. Patent No. 5940387), Phillips (U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0163126), and Rigole (U.S Patent No. 7139728).

Regarding claim 1, Akatsu teaches a module in a home network (Fig. 5, 504) with individual devices that are connected to one another and communicate among one another (Fig. 5, 508, 512, 524, etc.) via one or more protocols defined for the home network. See Akatsu, Abstract. Akatsu also teaches a connecting device which allows communication with an external network (Fig. 5, 504) and which makes this data available to the devices of the home network. See Akatsu, col. 3, lines 40-50.

However, Akatsu does not explicitly teach that said module is able to receive information about external services and has a search unit for making this data available to devices on the home network.

Art Unit: 2146

Humplemann does teach a network interface unit that performs the interfacing between external and internal networks, making external services available to all terminals on the internal network. *See* Humpleman, *Abstract*, lines 5-9.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used Humpleman's disclosed functionality in Akatsu's module because Humpleman teaches that there is a need and desire for a home network that provides interconnectivity to products in a home and to external networks and which makes external services available to devices on the home network. *See* Humpleman, col. 1, lines 65-67, col. 2, lines 1-4.

Rigole teaches a search module for searching the availability of specific data at the providers in the external network. See Rigole, col. 14, lines 10-21 ("... may include or be associated with a computer system that includes a Services Search Module. One possible module is as follows. The Module allows consumers to search for services that are not represented on the system site. The consumer enters certain descriptors or 'keywords' and then initiates a search. The search results are listed in order of relevance. This feature would normally be provided to consumers for free and powered by one of the many available search engines such as AltaVista, Yahoo!, Excite, or by a custom developed search engine.").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to user Rigole's search module in Akatsu's network module because Rigole teaches a computer system for interfacing internal users with external networks and services. See Rigole, Abstract. Rigole further teaches that it is desirable

Art Unit: 2146

to allow users on a local network to search for data in an external network. *See* Rigole, col. 14, lines 10-21.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 6, Akatsu also teaches that the module can convert data of the external network into a format which corresponds to the format used on the internal network and vice versa. See Akatsu, Abstract.

Regarding claim 4, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the module updatable. It has always been a desirable goal in the art to make devices updatable so that new functionality can be added after deployment, and it is therefore inherent that the functionality of the module is updatable.

Regarding claim 5, Akatsu discloses that the module communicates with the devices of the home network using a protocol of the home network. See Akatsu, col. 3, lines 35-50.

Regarding claim 7, Akatsu further teaches that a node on the network may use a memory to store data being transferred. See Akatsu, col. 5, lines 6-13.

Regarding claim 8, Akatsu teaches that the external network can be the internet.

See Akatsu, col. 10, lines 19-27.

Regarding claim 9, Humpleman further teaches that the data can be text, audio, or video data. See Humpleman, col. 3, lines 24-32.

Regarding claim 10, Akatsu further teaches that the module communicates with the devices of the home network via a data bus (Fig. 5, 568).

Regarding claim 11, Akatsu does not teach that the module can be integrated into the connecting device. However, Phillips teaches a similar module for delivering

Art Unit: 2146

data to devices in a home network and that said module can be integrated into the connecting device. See Phillips, p. 5, paragraph 40; Phillips, Fig. 1A.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used Phillip's integration technique in Akatsu's module because Phillips teaches that the disclosed method and apparatus can be used to deliver data streams on an external network to customer devices on an internal home network. See Phillips, Abstract.

Regarding claim 12, Akatsu teaches that the module is able to receive and process data from the external network and send data from the home network into the external network, See Akatsu, Abstract; Akatsu, Fig. 23.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-9, filed August 6, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-12 under Akatsu, Humpleman, and Phillips have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Rigole in combination with the previously cited references.

Applicant argues that none of the cited references teach a search unit for searching the availability of specific data at the providers in the external network. However, Rigole does teache a search module for searching the availability of specific data at the providers in the external network. See Rigole, col. 14, lines 10-21 ("... may include or be associated with a computer system that includes a Services Search

Art Unit: 2146

Module. One possible module is as follows. The Module allows consumers to search for services that are not represented on the system site. The consumer enters certain descriptors or 'keywords' and then initiates a search. The search results are listed in order of relevance. This feature would normally be provided to consumers for free and powered by one of the many available search engines such as AltaVista, Yahoo!, Excite, or by a custom developed search engine.").

Conclusion

- 1. The examiner requests, in response to this Office action, support be shown for language added to any original claims on amendment and any new claims. That is, indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and line no(s) in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). This will assist the examiner in prosecuting the application.
- 2. When responding to this office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present, in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections See 37 CFR 1.111(c).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willow Noonan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 AM-5:00 PM EST.

Art Unit: 2146

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Pwu can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William

JEFFREY PWU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER